PET DEALER INSPECTION REPORT - AI-500

Purpose: Inspection Rating: Non-Compliant Critical - 7

> 7/27/11 10:15 am DATE/TOA:

I. Information Statement

2. Dog License Notice

3. Spay/Neuter Notice

4. Source/Treatment Sign

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

1. Standard Form

License No.: 669 Expiration Date: 01/09/2011

Hudson Labradoodles 515 Churchtown Road

Inspector #: 65

| These | are the findings of an inspection of | your facility on the date(s) indicated above: | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Agriculture & Markets Law - Article | | 2. Sick/Injured Care | Not Applicable |
| SA - Care of Animals by Pet Dealers | | 3. Observation | Not Applicable |
| Section 401 | | 4. Health Exams (dogs) | Not Applicable |
| A. Housing In/Out | | 5. Isolation | Not Applicable |
| 1. Primary Enclosure | Not Applicable | Section 402 | |
| 2. Surfaces3. Space | Not Applicable Not Applicable | F. Records | |
| 4. Wire Flooring | Not Applicable | 1. Source of Animals | Not Applicable |
| 5. Ventilation | Not Applicable | 2. 8 Weeks old at sale | Not Applicable |
| 6. Temp/Shelter | Not Applicable | 3. Date Acquired | Not Applicable |
| 7. Light | Not Applicable | 4. Description | Not Applicable |
| 8. Waste & Drainage | Not Applicable | 5. Disposition | Not Applicable |
| 9. Whelping Box | Not Applicable | 6. 2 Years of Records | Not Applicable |
| | | 7. Availability of Records | Not Applicable |
| B. Sanitation | | Section 403 | |
| 1. Cleanliness | Critical | G. Licenses | |
| Facility not cleaned, excessive feces & urine present in pen. | | 1. License Status | Not Applicable |
| 2. Safety | Not Applicable | 2. Advertisement | Not Applicable |
| 3. Trash | Not Applicable | 3. License Display | Not Applicable |
| C. Feeding & Watering | | General Business Law - Article 35D - Sale of Dogs & Cats | |
| 1. Food | Not Applicable | Section 753 a,b,c | |
| 2. Intervals | Not Applicable | H. Sale of Animal | |
| 3. Receptacles | Not Applicable | | |
| 4. Water | Not Applicable | Receipt of Sale Rabies Notice | Not Applicable Not Applicable |
| D. Humane Methods | | | |
| 1. Handling | Critical | Section 753b | |
| i. i landing | | I. Information Statement | |

E. Veterinary Care

2. Euthanasia

with waste.

1. Inoculations Not Applicable

Excessive feces & urine present in pen.

Puppies and lower body of mother dog coated

Not Applicable

AI-500

General Business Law - Article 35D - Sale of Dogs & Cats

Section 753c

J. Pedigree Registration

Registration Notice Not Applicable
 Registry Disclosure Not Applicable

Section 754

K. Consumer Rights Notice

Cons. Rights Posted Not Applicable
 Cons. Rights Handout Not Applicable

L. Other

CVI Not Applicable
 Dog Licenses Not Applicable
 Other Not Applicable

Additional Information for Inspection:

Number of Animals:

Number of Dogs/Cats at premise: N/A

REMARKS:

TITLE: Owner

Arrived at new facility 329 Church Rd, Hudson at 10:15am. I knocked loudly on the front door and looked down the row of outside kennels on either side of the building; dogs were present in some of the outdoor kennels on each side and there was barking from inside, but no person appeared.

I contacted Mr. Rist by phone, 518-821-0762. He stated that he was en route to NYC with his wife and wouldn't be available until the next day or next week. He stated that he had someone coming to care for the dogs in 1/2 hour. I asked if that person could accompany me for an inspection of the facility. He said no, that the person had not been authorized to allow others in the building. I then asked if he could contact the person and give authorization by phone. He said no, he had called the person earlier in the morning at home and did not have a cell phone number for the person.

As I stood on the front sidewalk and looked down each side of the building, I observed that an excessive amount of feces & urine were present in the first outdoor kennel on each side and that the dogs contained within were coated with waste. I left the premises and returned at 11:45am and again found no person present and conditions in those kennels were the same-no cleaning had been done.

As no one was present, I did not proceed any further and this inspection report is based solely upon my observation of the conditions within those two kennels at the time of my visits.

REPRESENTATIVE PRESENT FOR INSPECTION:

Curtis Rist via telephone

REVIEWED BY: Inspector #:

REVIEWED DATE: 07/27/2011

18

Page 2 of 2