

MUNICIPAL SHELTER INSPECTION REPORT - DL-90Rating: **Unsatisfactory30**Purpose: **Inspection**DATE/TOA: **9/30/15 1:15 pm****MONTGOMERY COUNTY SPCA
1007 RT 5S
AMSTERDAM NY 12010**Inspector #: **54**

 These are the findings of an inspection of your facility on the date(s) indicated above:

- | | |
|--|----------------|
| 1. Shelter is structurally sound | No |
| <i>Eight outdoor runs at the rear of the kennel and eight outdoor runs at the front of the kennel building: the pipes that compromise the door frames that the chain link is attached to, are rusty, broken and have jagged edges at both corners at the bottom of the door, and the pipe that connects the corners at the bottom of the door are severely rusted. Additionally on the inside of the kennel at the front of the building the fifth run in from the entrance door has a rusted pipe that is not secured at the bottom of the door frame. The inside quarantine area, has two runs where at the lower right corner the end of the door frame pipe is rusted and has sharp edges. These areas pose a risk of injury to the dogs contained therein and cannot be effectively cleaned and disinfected to prevent the spread of diseases.</i> | |
| 2. Housing area and equipment is sanitized regularly | Yes |
| 3. Repairs are done when necessary | No |
| <i>Bolts sticking out from the concrete around the door openings in the outdoor runs need to be removed. Several areas in the concrete of the outdoor runs, where there are large cracks, chips and holes need to be filled in. Seven outdoor enclosures plus one attached to the quarantine area are freestanding kennels and have several areas where patches have been attempted that still need repair to remove the loose or sharp wire or pipes. Several of these enclosures had wire that was unsecured at the bottom, most but not all of this has been secured except that some materials (webbed leashes) that have been used in areas to secure the wire can be chewed and ingested by the dogs. Two runs in the outside quarantine area and one freestanding kennel to the left of the facility have areas at the bottom where the chain link is pulled up creating a hole where a dogs head could become lodged, this wire needs to be secured... Holes that the dogs have dug in the dirt at the back corners of a couple of these enclosures need to be filled in. Currently these holes are filled with muddy water.</i> | |
| 4. Dogs are handled safely | Yes |
| 5. Adequate space is available for all dogs | Yes |
| 6. Light is sufficient for observation | Yes |
| 7. Ventilation is adequate | Yes |
| 8. Drainage is adequate | Yes |
| 9. Temperature extremes are avoided | Yes |
| 10. Clean food and water is available and in ample amount | Yes |
| 11. Veterinary care is provided when necessary | Yes |
| 12. Dogs are euthanized humanely, by authorized personnel | Yes |
| 13. Complete intake and disposition records are maintained for all seized dogs | Yes |
| <i>Records maintained for inspection are photo copies and a couple are illegible as the ink is very light.</i> | |
| 14. Dogs transferred for purposes of adoption in compliance with Article 7 | Yes |
| 15. Redemption period is observed before adoption, euthanasia or transfer | Yes |
| 16. Owners of identified dogs are properly notified | Not Applicable |

- 17. Redeemed dogs are licensed before release Yes
- 18. Proper impoundment fees paid before dogs are released Yes
- 19. Written contract or lease with municipality No

No current signed contract for the Town of Rotterdam only. All other contracted municipalities are current with expiration dates as follows; City of Amsterdam 6/30/17, Town of Amsterdam & Florida 12/31/16.

Town - City - Village Information for Inspection:

TCV CODE	TCV NAME
2704	Town of Florida
2701	Town of Amsterdam
4205	Town of Rotterdam
2711	City of Amsterdam
4204	Town of Princetown

REMARKS:

N/A=Handled by DCO

Several areas of chain link wire that are rusty and pitted need resurfacing as they cannot be effectively cleaned and disinfected to prevent the spread of disease. No improvements or repairs made since the previous inspection.

REPRESENTATIVE PRESENT FOR INSPECTION: **Antonio Baker**
 TITLE: **Manager**

REVIEWED BY: **Inspector #: 50**
 REVIEWED DATE: **10/01/2015**